

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 —INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 CHANGES BETWEEN THE DRAFT EIS AND THE FINAL EIS	1-1
1.2.2 Sagebrush Focal Areas	1-3
1.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANNING AREA	1-4
1.4 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS	1-5
1.5 READER'S GUIDE	1-6
1.5.1 Reader's Guide to This Document	1-6
1.5.2 Reader's Guide to the EIS Process	1-7
1.6 NATIONAL GREATER SAGE-GROUSE PLANNING STRATEGY	1-10
1.6.1 Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Objectives: Priority Areas for Conservation and How They Correlate with Priority and General Habitat Management Areas.....	1-12
1.7 PLANNING PROCESS	1-13
1.7.1 Bureau of Land Management Planning.....	1-13
1.7.2 Forest Service Planning.....	1-14
1.8 PLANNING ISSUES	1-16
1.8.1 Issues Addressed	1-16
1.9 PLANNING CRITERIA	1-20
1.10 RELEVANT STATUTES, LIMITATIONS, AND GUIDELINES.....	1-23
1.11 RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANS	1-24
 CHAPTER 2 —ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 CHANGES BETWEEN THE DRAFT EIS AND FINAL EIS	2-1
2.2 INTRODUCTION	2-3
2.3 INTRODUCTION TO DRAFT ALTERNATIVES	2-4
2.3.1 Components of Alternatives.....	2-4
2.3.2 Purpose of Alternatives Development.....	2-4
2.4 ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS FOR THE WYOMING GREATER SAGE-GROUSE LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS	2-5
2.4.1 Develop a Reasonable Range of Alternatives	2-5
2.4.2 Resulting Range of Alternatives in the Draft LUP Amendments/Draft EIS	2-6
2.5 BLM AND FOREST SERVICE RESOURCE PROGRAMS FOR ADDRESSING GREATER SAGE-GROUSE THREATS	2-7
2.6 PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS/PROPOSED MANAGEMENT OF GREATER SAGE-GROUSE HABITAT.....	2-10
2.6.1 Development of the Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments for Greater Sage-Grouse Management	2-10
2.6.3 BLM Proposed LUP Amendments.....	2-12
2.6.4 Forest Service Proposed LUP Amendments	2-64
2.7 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT, MONITORING, AND MITIGATION.....	2-78
2.7.1 Adaptive Management Plan	2-78
2.7.2 Monitoring for the Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Strategy	2-79
2.7.3 Regional Mitigation	2-80
2.8 DRAFT LUP AMENDMENTS/EIS ALTERNATIVES	2-82
2.8.1 Alternative A (No Action).....	2-82
2.8.2 Alternative B	2-83
2.8.3 Alternative C	2-83
2.8.4 Alternative D	2-83
2.9 SUMMARY COMPARISON OF PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS AND DRAFT ALTERNATIVES	2-83
2.10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF DRAFT ALTERNATIVES.....	2-95
2.10.1 How to Read Table 2-11	2-95
2.10.2 Management Goals for Alternatives A and D	2-95
2.10.3 Management Goal for Alternative B	2-96

2.10.4 Management Goal for Alternative C	2-96
2.10.5 Management Objectives for Alternatives B, C, and D	2-96
2.10.6 Management Objectives for Alternative B	2-97
2.10.7 Management Sub-Objectives for Alternative B	2-97
2.10.8 Management Objectives for Alternative C	2-98
2.10.9 Management Objectives for Alternative D.....	2-98
2.11 DETAILED COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	2-99
2.12 ALTERNATIVES ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	2-200
2.12.1 Alternatives that Include Stipulations for Protection of Sage-Grouse Habitat from Oil Shale Resources 2-200	
2.12.2 Closure of Sage-Grouse Habitat to Off-Highway Vehicle Use.....	2-201
2.12.3 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Listing with Associated Conservation Measures.....	2-202
2.12.4 Designation of All Sage-grouse General Habitat as Areas of Critical Environmental Concern or Forest Service Special Interest Areas.....	2-202
2.13 SUMMARY COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	2-203
CHAPTER 3 —AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT.....	3-1
3.1 INTRODUCTION	3-1
3.2 AIR QUALITY	3-1
3.2.1 Climate	3-1
3.2.2 Temperature	3-6
3.2.3 Air Quality Characterization	3-7
3.2.4 Ambient Air Quality Concentrations	3-7
3.2.5 Air Quality Related Values	3-11
3.2.6 Summary of Existing Air Quality	3-16
3.2.7 Global Climate Change	3-17
3.2.8 Cooperative Air Quality Management	3-18
3.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3-19
3.3.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-19
3.3.2 Forest Service.....	3-36
3.4 FORESTRY	3-40
3.4.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-40
3.4.2 Forest Service.....	3-47
3.5 LANDS AND REALTY	3-50
3.5.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-50
3.5.2 Forest Service.....	3-64
3.6 LANDS WITH WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS.....	3-71
3.6.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-71
3.7 LIVESTOCK GRAZING.....	3-74
3.7.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-74
3.7.2 Forest Service.....	3-83
3.8 MINERALS AND ENERGY	3-97
3.8.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-97
3.8.2 Forest Service.....	3-133
3.9 PALEONTOLOGY.....	3-142
3.9.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-142
3.9.2 Forest Service.....	3-148
3.10 RECREATION RESOURCES	3-152
3.10.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-152
3.10.2 Forest Service.....	3-158
3.11 SOCIOECONOMICS	3-169
3.11.1 Socioeconomic Study Area Selection	3-169
3.11.2 Socioeconomic Characteristics	3-170
3.12 SOILS	3-179
3.12.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-179
3.12.2 Forest Service.....	3-189

3.13 SPECIAL DESIGNATIONS AND MANAGEMENT AREAS.....	3-193
3.13.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-193
3.13.2 Forest Service.....	3-219
3.14 SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES.....	3-232
3.14.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-232
3.14.2 Forest Service.....	3-295
3.15 TRANSPORTATION AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT.....	3-337
3.15.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-337
3.15.2 Forest Service.....	3-348
3.16 VEGETATION.....	3-356
3.16.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-356
3.16.2 Forest Service.....	3-376
3.17 VISUAL RESOURCES.....	3-403
3.17.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-403
3.17.2 Forest Service.....	3-410
3.18 WATERSHED AND WATER QUALITY	3-415
3.18.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-415
3.18.2 Forest Service.....	3-432
3.19 WILD HORSES	3-441
3.19.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-441
3.19.2 Forest Service.....	3-448
3.20 WILDLAND FIRE AND FUELS	3-449
3.20.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-449
3.20.2 Forest Service.....	3-458
3.21 WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES	3-462
3.21.1 Bureau of Land Management.....	3-462
3.21.2 Forest Service.....	3-503
CHAPTER 4 —ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1 ANALYSIS METHODS.....	4-1
4.1.1 Types of Impacts	4-2
4.1.2 Availability of Data and Incomplete Information	4-3
4.1.3 Analysis Assumptions	4-3
4.2 AIR QUALITY	4-5
4.2.1 Introduction	4-5
4.2.2 Impact Indicators	4-5
4.2.3 Air Quality Assessments for Alternative A	4-6
4.2.4 Air Quality Impacts Associated with Oil and Gas Development	4-7
4.2.5 Air Quality Impacts Associated with Non-Oil and Gas Development Activities	4-55
4.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	4-58
4.3.1 Impact Indicators	4-58
4.3.2 Assumptions	4-58
4.3.3 Alternative A	4-59
4.3.4 Alternative B	4-61
4.3.5 Alternative C	4-63
4.3.6 Alternative D	4-64
4.3.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-65
4.4 FORESTRY	4-67
4.4.1 Impact Indicators	4-67
4.4.2 Assumptions	4-67
4.4.3 Alternative A	4-67
4.4.4 Alternative B	4-68
4.4.5 Alternative C	4-69
4.4.6 Alternative D	4-69
4.4.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-70
4.5 LANDS AND REALTY	4-71

4.5.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-71
4.5.2 Assumptions.....	4-71
4.5.3 Alternative A.....	4-72
4.5.4 Alternative B.....	4-74
4.5.5 Alternative C.....	4-75
4.5.6 Alternative D.....	4-76
4.5.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-78
4.6 LANDS WITH WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS.....	4-81
4.6.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-81
4.6.2 Assumptions.....	4-81
4.6.3 Alternative A.....	4-81
4.6.4 Alternative B	4-83
4.6.5 Alternative C.....	4-84
4.6.6 Alternative D.....	4-85
4.6.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-87
4.7 LIVESTOCK GRAZING	4-89
4.7.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-89
4.7.2 Assumptions.....	4-89
4.7.3 Alternative A.....	4-90
4.7.4 Alternative B	4-93
4.7.5 Alternative C.....	4-96
4.7.6 Alternative D.....	4-99
4.7.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-100
4.8 MINERALS AND ENERGY	4-106
4.8.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-106
4.8.2 Assumptions.....	4-107
4.8.3 Alternative A.....	4-108
4.8.4 Alternative B	4-111
4.8.5 Alternative C.....	4-113
4.8.6 Alternative D.....	4-114
4.8.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-115
4.9 PALEONTOLOGY.....	4-118
4.9.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-118
4.9.2 Assumptions.....	4-118
4.9.3 Alternative A.....	4-118
4.9.4 Alternative B	4-121
4.9.5 Alternative C.....	4-122
4.9.6 Alternative D.....	4-124
4.9.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-125
4.10 RECREATION RESOURCES	4-127
4.10.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-127
4.10.2 Assumptions.....	4-127
4.10.3 Alternative A.....	4-128
4.10.4 Alternative B	4-129
4.10.5 Alternative C.....	4-130
4.10.6 Alternative D.....	4-131
4.10.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-132
4.11 SOCIOECONOMICS	4-134
4.11.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-134
4.11.2 Assumptions.....	4-135
4.11.3 Methods of Analysis	4-136
4.11.4 Summaries of the Quantitative Economic Impact Analysis Results	4-139
4.11.5 Economic Impacts by Alternative	4-187
4.11.6 Social Impacts	4-211
4.11.7 Environmental Justice Impacts.....	4-218
4.12 SOILS	4-220

4.12.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-220
4.12.2 Assumptions.....	4-220
4.12.3 Alternative A.....	4-221
4.12.4 Alternative B.....	4-225
4.12.5 Alternative C.....	4-229
4.12.6 Alternative D.....	4-233
4.12.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-236
4.13 SPECIAL DESIGNATIONS AND MANAGEMENT AREAS.....	4-241
4.13.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-241
4.13.2 Assumptions.....	4-241
4.13.3 Alternative A.....	4-242
4.13.4 Alternative B.....	4-245
4.13.5 Alternative C.....	4-246
4.13.6 Alternative D.....	4-247
4.13.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-248
4.14 SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES.....	4-250
4.14.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-250
4.14.2 Assumptions.....	4-250
4.14.3 Alternative A.....	4-252
4.14.4 Alternative B	4-280
4.14.5 Alternative C	4-308
4.14.6 Alternative D	4-321
4.14.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-334
4.15 TRANSPORTATION AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT	4-347
4.15.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-347
4.15.2 Assumptions.....	4-347
4.15.3 Alternative A.....	4-347
4.15.4 Alternative B	4-348
4.15.5 Alternative C	4-349
4.15.6 Alternative D	4-350
4.15.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-351
4.16 VEGETATION.....	4-352
4.16.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-352
4.16.2 Assumptions.....	4-352
4.16.3 Alternative A.....	4-352
4.16.4 Alternative B	4-356
4.16.5 Alternative C	4-359
4.16.6 Alternative D	4-361
4.16.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-362
4.17 VISUAL RESOURCES.....	4-365
4.17.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-365
4.17.2 Assumptions.....	4-365
4.17.3 Alternative A.....	4-365
4.17.4 Alternative B	4-368
4.17.5 Alternative C	4-369
4.17.6 Alternative D	4-370
4.17.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-372
4.18 WATERSHED AND WATER QUALITY	4-374
4.18.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-374
4.18.2 Assumptions.....	4-374
4.18.3 Alternative A.....	4-375
4.18.4 Alternative B	4-379
4.18.5 Alternative C	4-383
4.18.6 Alternative D	4-387
4.18.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-391
4.19 WILD HORSES	4-396

4.19.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-396
4.19.2 Assumptions.....	4-396
4.19.3 Alternative A.....	4-396
4.19.4 Alternative B.....	4-400
4.19.5 Alternative C.....	4-402
4.19.6 Alternative D.....	4-404
4.19.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-405
4.20 WILDLAND FIRE AND FUELS	4-408
4.20.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-408
4.20.2 Assumptions.....	4-408
4.20.3 Alternative A.....	4-409
4.20.4 Alternative B.....	4-411
4.20.5 Alternative C.....	4-413
4.20.6 Alternative D.....	4-414
4.20.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-415
4.21 WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES	4-418
4.21.1 Impact Indicators.....	4-418
4.21.2 Assumptions.....	4-418
4.21.3 Alternative A.....	4-419
4.21.4 Alternative B	4-433
4.21.5 Alternative C	4-445
4.21.6 Alternative D	4-452
4.21.7 Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-457
4.22 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	4-464
4.22.1 Impact Assessment Methodology	4-464
4.22.2 Projects and Activities Considered.....	4-465
4.22.3 Planning Area Cumulative Impacts by Resource	4-488
4.23 GREATER SAGE-GROUSE CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ANALYSIS: WYOMING GREATER SAGE-GROUSE PLANNING AREA	4-509
4.23.1 Methods.....	4-510
4.23.2 Assumptions.....	4-512
4.23.3 Existing Conditions in WAFWA MZ I, II/VII and the Greater Sage-Grouse Land Use Plan Amendment Planning Area	4-513
4.23.4 Regional Efforts to Manage Threats to Greater Sage-Grouse	4-515
4.23.5 Relevant Cumulative Actions.....	4-522
4.23.6 Threats to Greater Sage-Grouse in Management Zone I	4-523
4.23.7 Threats to Greater Sage-Grouse in Management Zone II/VII	4-551
4.23.8 Conclusions	4-575
4.23.9 MZ-Wide Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Summary Tables.....	4-580
4.24 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	4-587
4.25 UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS	4-588
4.26 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	4-589
CHAPTER 5 —CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	5-1
5.1 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	5-2
5.1.1 Cooperating Agencies	5-3
5.1.2 Coordination and Consistency	5-5
5.1.3 Native American Interests	5-7
5.2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	5-7
5.2.1 Scoping Period	5-7
5.2.2 Public Review of the Draft EIS and LUP Amendment	5-9
5.2.3 Mailing List.....	5-9
5.2.4 Newsletters	5-10
5.2.5 Website.....	5-10
5.2.6 Future Public Participation	5-10
5.3 DISTRIBUTION LIST	5-10

5.4 LIST OF PREPARERS.....	5-12
LIST OF ACRONYMS	AC-1
GLOSSARY	GL-1
LITERATURE CITED.....	LC-1
CHAPTER 3 FIGURES	FIG-1
MAPS	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Land and Mineral Ownership and Administrative Jurisdictions within the Planning Area.....	1-4
Table 1-2. Threats by Management Zone and Population	1-11
Table 2-1. USFWS Threats to Greater Sage-Grouse and Their Habitat, Applicable BLM and Forest Service Proposed Land Use Plan Resource Program Areas Addressing These Threats.....	2-8
Table 2-2. Seasonal Habitat Objectives for Greater Sage-Grouse Wyoming Basin Ecoregion.....	2-15
Table 2-3. Seasonal Habitat Objectives for Greater Sage-Grouse NE Wyoming.....	2-18
Table 2-4. Management Actions and Allowable Uses.....	2-22
Table 2-5. Seasonal Habitat Desired Conditions for Greater Sage- grouse	2-65
Table 2-6. Grazing Guidelines for Greater Sage-Grouse Seasonal Habitat.....	2-71
Table 2-7. Land Use Restrictions by Alternative.....	2-85
Table 2-8. Areas of Fluid Mineral Lease Conditional Requirements by Hydrocarbon Potential for Conventional Oil and Gas.....	2-87
Table 2-9. Areas of Fluid Mineral Lease Conditional Requirements by Hydrocarbon Potential for Coalbed Natural Gas	2-89
Table 2-10. Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario for Federal Oil and Gas and Coalbed Natural Gas Wells and Associated Surface Disturbance Acres	2-91
Table 2-11. Detailed Comparison of Alternatives	2-99
Table 2-12. Summary Comparison of Environmental Consequences	2-204
Table 3-1. Casper Field Office, Medicine Bow National Forest, and Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-2
Table 3-2. Kemmerer Field Office and Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-3
Table 3-3. Newcastle Field Office and Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-3
Table 3-4. Pinedale Field Office and Bridger Teton National Forest	3-4
Table 3-5. Rawlins Field Office and Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-5
Table 3-6. Rock Springs Field Office and Bridger Teton National Forest	3-6
Table 3-7. Atmospheric Stability Class Distribution Averaged from 1999 through 2003	3-7
Table 3-8. Physical Features of Sampled Lakes	3-14
Table 3-9. Significant Trends for Long-Term Lakes (1984-Present)	3-14
Table 3-10. Summary of Air Quality Values for the Planning Area.....	3-16
Table 3-11. Newcastle Field Office Timber Data.....	3-42
Table 3-12. Pinedale Field Office Timber Data	3-43
Table 3-13. Rawlins Field Office Timber Data	3-46
Table 3-14. Rock Springs Field Office Timber Data	3-47
Table 3-15. Withdrawal Summary for the Casper Field Office.....	3-54
Table 3-16. Withdrawal Summary in the Kemmerer Field Office	3-56
Table 3-17. Withdrawal Summary in the Newcastle Field Office	3-59
Table 3-18. Withdrawal Summary for the Rawlins Field Office	3-61
Table 3-19. Land Ownership Adjustments for the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-65
Table 3-20. Use Type Permits for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-66
Table 3-21. Landownership and Acres for the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-67
Table 3-22. Land Adjustments by Year for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-69
Table 3-23. Kemmerer Field Office Allotment Categorizations.....	3-77
Table 3-24. Standards for Healthy Rangelands Assessments	3-78
Table 3-25. Bridger Teton National Forest Ranger Districts.....	3-84
Table 3-26. Medicine Bow National Forest Ranger Districts.....	3-88

Table 3-27 Type of Livestock Use.....	3-89
Table 3-28. Douglas Ranger District (Thunder Basin Area)	3-92
Table 3-29. Historic Grazing Use for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-93
Table 3-30. Current Grazing Systems in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-95
Table 3-31. Oil and Gas Producing Formations in the Casper Field Office	3-100
Table 3-32. Mined and Unmined Leasable Coal Areas (acres)	3-102
Table 3-33. Coal Development Potential for Northern Converse County (acres)	3-103
Table 3-34. Salable Mineral Production in the Casper Field Office for Fiscal Year 2003	3-104
Table 3-35. Kemmerer Mine Production, 2001 - 2005	3-107
Table 3-36. Mined and Unmined Coal Leases and Lease by Applications as of 2003 (acres)	3-107
Table 3-37. Trona Production by Mine, 2005	3-108
Table 3-38. Mineral Material Disposal Types and Commodities for Fiscal Year 2010	3-110
Table 3-39. Salable Mineral Production in the Kemmerer Field Office for Fiscal Year 2010	3-110
Table 3-40. Current Authorized Mine Plans of Development within the Newcastle Field Office	3-112
Table 3-41. Active Mining Claims in Niobrara, Weston, and Crook Counties	3-112
Table 3-42. Annual Bentonite Production, 2000-2007	3-113
Table 3-43. Free Use Permit Activity	3-115
Table 3-44. Total Production from Free Use Permits	3-115
Table 3-45. Oil and Gas Drilling Activity in the Pinedale Field Office	3-117
Table 3-46. Known Locatable Mineral Deposits in the Rawlins Field Office.....	3-123
Table 3-47. Number of Oil and Gas Leases and Acreage by County in the Rock Springs Field Office	3-128
Table 3-48. Oil and Gas Units in the Rock Springs Field Office and Production Status.....	3-129
Table 3-49. Federal Coal Leases in the Rock Springs Field Office.....	3-131
Table 3-50. Current Availability of Oil and Gas, and Geothermal Leasing in the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-135
Table 3-51. Oil and Gas Leasing Stipulations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-137
Table 3-52. Locatable Mineral Withdrawals in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-138
Table 3-53. Oil and Gas Leasing Stipulations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-139
Table 3-54 Visitor Estimations Table for BLM	3-152
Table 3-55. Site Days and Percentage of Days Sampled by Stratum on the Medicine Bow National Forest (FY 2008).....	3-163
Table 3-56. Annual Visitation Estimate (thousands) for Medicine Bow National Forest and Thunder Basin National Grassland (FY 2008)	3-164
Table 3-57. Soils with High Erosion Potential in the Casper Field Office	3-182
Table 3-58. Soil Types of the Newcastle Field Office.....	3-184
Table 3-59 Wild and Scenic River Segments	3-194
Table 3-60. Casper Field Office Existing Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Management Areas	3-197
Table 3-61. Kemmerer Field Office Existing Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Other Management Areas	3-202
Table 3-62. Pinedale Field Office Special Designation Areas: Existing Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Management Areas, and Wilderness Study Areas.....	3-205
Table 3-63. Rawlins Field Office Existing Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Management Areas	3-208
Table 3-64. Rock Springs Field Office Special Designations and Management Areas.....	3-214
Table 3-65. Inventoried Roadless Area Acreage in the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-222
Table 3-66. Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas Acreage in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-222

Table 3-67. Special Interest Area Acreage in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-224
Table 3-68. Resource Natural Areas Acreage in the Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-225
Table 3-69. Inventoried Roadless Area Acreage by Number and Mountain Range in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-225
Table 3-70. Wilderness Area Acreage in the Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-227
Table 3-71. Recommended for Wilderness Acreage in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-227
Table 3-72. Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-228
Table 3-73. Special Interest Area Acreage by Core and General Sage-grouse Habitat in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-228
Table 3-74. Resource Natural Areas Acreage by Core and General Sage-grouse Habitat in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-229
Table 3-75. Inventoried Roadless Area Acreage by Core and General Sage-grouse Habitat in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-230
Table 3-76. Federally Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species for the State of Wyoming	3-233
Table 3-77. Acres of Core/Priority, Connectivity, and General Sage-grouse Habitat within the Planning Area	3-236
Table 3-78. BLM Wyoming Special Status Species by Field Office.....	3-247
Table 3-79. Threatened, Endangered, Proposed and Candidate Wildlife Species that May Occur in the Rock Springs Field Office Planning Area.....	3-292
Table 3-80. Forest Service Region 2 and 4 Sensitive Species Occurring or Potentially Occurring on the Bridger-Teton National Forest, Medicine Bow National Forest or Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-298
Table 3-81. Mapped Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat Acres by Category for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-305
Table 3-82. Threatened, Endangered, Experimental, and Candidate Species for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-306
Table 3-83. Affected Environment and Relevancy Table for Sensitive Species in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-308
Table 3-84. Affected Environment and Relevancy Table for Management Indicator Species in the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-314
Table 3-85. Geographic Units and Core Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in the Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-317
Table 3-86. Endangered Species Act Species within Region 2 of the Forest Service, Medicine Bow National Forest	3-317
Table 3-87. Terrestrial Wildlife Species, Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-319
Table 3-88. Region 2 Sensitive Plant Species, Medicine Bow National Forest	3-323
Table 3-89. Management Indicator Species, Medicine Bow National Forest	3-326
Table 3-90. Acreage and Designated Greater Sage-Grouse Core Habitat Acres in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-327
Table 3-91. Primary Geographic Units and Greater Sage-Grouse Core Habitat in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-327
Table 3-92. ESA Species: Relevance to Sage and Sagebrush Steppe Conservation in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-328
Table 3-93. Region 2 Sensitive Wildlife Species in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-329
Table 3-94. Participants and Visitor Days Associated with Off Highway Vehicle Use in the Rawlins Field Office	3-346
Table 3-95. Major Road Connections with the Thunder Basin National Grassland Road System.....	3-352
Table 3-96. Arterial and Collector Roads on the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-353
Table 3-97. High Value Roads by User Type for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-354
Table 3-98. Vegetation Communities	3-369

Table 3-99. Noxious Weeds Designated by the Wyoming Weed and Pest Control Act	3-373
Table 3-100. Poisonous Plants in the Planning Area	3-375
Table 3-101. Vegetation Acreages by National Forest	3-378
Table 3-102. Noxious Weeds within the Planning Area.....	3-391
Table 3-103. Poisonous Plant Species on National Forest System Land.....	3-392
Table 3-104. Percent of Rangeland Vegetation Structure (as of 2008) for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-399
Table 3-105. Percent of Rangeland Vegetation Seral Stages (as of 2008) for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-399
Table 3-106. Percent Structure and Composition by Sage-grouse Habitat Type for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-400
Table 3-107. Noxious Weeds and Acres Treated on Thunder Basin National Grassland (1994- 1996).....	3-400
Table 3-108. Noxious Weed Treatment (acres)	3-401
Table 3-109. Planning Area Visual Resource Management Acreages	3-405
Table 3-110. Casper Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages.....	3-406
Table 3-111. Kemmerer Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages.....	3-407
Table 3-112. Newcastle Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages	3-407
Table 3-113. Pinedale Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages	3-408
Table 3-114. Rawlins Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages.....	3-409
Table 3-115. Rock Springs Field Office Visual Resource Management Acreages	3-410
Table 3-116. Visual Quality Objectives for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-412
Table 3-117. Scenic Integrity Objective for the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-413
Table 3-118. Scenic Integrity Objectives for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-414
Table 3-119. Water Use Summary for the Year 2000 for Counties Encompassing the Casper Field Office	3-416
Table 3-120. Functioning Condition Ratings of Streams on Public Land in the Kemmerer Field Office	3-418
Table 3-121. Water Use in the Pinedale Field Office	3-421
Table 3-122. Classification of Selected Streams in the Rawlins Field Office	3-425
Table 3-123. Summary Data from United States Geological Survey Surface Water Quality Stations in the Rawlins Field Office	3-428
Table 3-124. Highest Levels of Salinity in the Rock Springs Field Office	3-431
Table 3-125. Groundwater Occurrence in the Rock Springs Field Office.....	3-432
Table 3-126. Water Quality Monitoring Results for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	3-434
Table 3-127. Miles of Road per Square Mile of Riparian Area for the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-436
Table 3-128. Forest Water Quality Impairments for Wyoming for the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-437
Table 3-129. Rawlins Field Office Wild Horse Appropriate Management Levels and Populations	3-442
Table 3-130. Wild Horse Regional Meta-Populations Associated with the Field Office	3-444
Table 3-131. Rock Springs Field Office Appropriate Management Levels and Populations	3-445
Table 3-132. Summary of Fuels Treatments.....	3-450
Table 3-133. Fire Simulation System Burn Probability Classes	3-451
Table 3-134. Wildfires Summary.....	3-452
Table 3-135. Common Raptor Species within the Planning Area	3-472
Table 3-136. Wyoming Weed and Pest Control Act Designated Pests	3-474
Table 3-137. Habitat Management Plans for the Casper Field Office	3-476
Table 3-138. Summary of Potential Number of Raptor and Nongame Bird Species in the Casper Field Office	3-479
Table 3-139. Big Game Crucial Winter Range and Parturition Areas.....	3-486

Table 3-140. Big Game Population Status	3-486
Table 3-141. National Forest System Acreage and Mapped Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat Acres by Category in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-505
Table 3-142. Big Game Herd Population Objectives and Trends for the Jackson Geographic Area in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-507
Table 3-143. Big Game Herd Population Objectives and Trends for the Hoback Geographic Area in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-508
Table 3-144. Big Game Herd Population Objectives and Trends in the Piney Geographic Area in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-508
Table 3-145. Big Game Herd Population Objectives and Trends in the Upper Green Geographic Area in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-509
Table 3-146. Big Game Herd Population Objectives and Trends in the Pinedale Geographic Area in the Bridger-Teton National Forest	3-509
Table 3-147. National Forest System Acreage and Greater Sage-Grouse Core and General Habitat for the Medicine Bow National Forest.....	3-511
Table 3-148. Big Game Herd Population Objectives for the Laramie Peak Geographic Area in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-512
Table 3-149. Big Game Herd Population Objectives for the Snowy Range Geographic Area in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-513
Table 3-150. Big Game Herd Population Objectives for the Sierra Madre Geographic Area in the Medicine Bow National Forest	3-513
Table 3-151 Wyoming Species of Greatest Conservation Need for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-515
Table 3-152. Raptor Nest Monitoring for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-517
Table 3-153. Elk Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-517
Table 3-154. Hilight Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-518
Table 3-155. North Black Hills Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-518
Table 3-156. Gillette Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-519
Table 3-157. Cheyenne River Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-519
Table 3-158. North Converse Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-520
Table 3-159. Powder River Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-520
Table 3-160. Cheyenne River Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-520
Table 3-161. Black Hills Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	3-521
Table 3-162. North Converse Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-521
Table 3-163. Native Fish Documented or Believed Present on the Thunder Basin National Grassland, Based on Survey Data Collected on or Adjacent to the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-522
Table 3-164. Non-Native Species Documented or Believed Present on the Thunder Basin National Grassland, Based on Survey Data Collected on or Adjacent to the Thunder Basin National Grassland	3-522
Table 4-1. Types of Impacts	4-2
Table 4-2. Total Number of Wells	4-8
Table 4-3. Total Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Well Type for all Field Offices by Alternative (tons per year)	4-9
Table 4-4. Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Well type for all Field Offices by Alternative (tons per year)	4-10
Table 4-5. Casper Field Office Total Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Year 2020 and 2031 for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-11

Table 4-6. Casper Field Office Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-13
Table 4-7. Casper Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-14
Table 4-8. Casper Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-15
Table 4-9. Kemmerer Field Office Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-16
Table 4-10. Kemmerer Field Office Total Oil and Gas Well Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-17
Table 4-11. Kemmerer Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-19
Table 4-12. Kemmerer Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-20
Table 4-13. Newcastle Field Office Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-21
Table 4-14. Newcastle Field Office Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-22
Table 4-15. Newcastle Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-23
Table 4-16. Newcastle Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (tons per year)	4-24
Table 4-17. Pinedale Field Office Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-26
Table 4-18. Pinedale Field Office Total Greenhouse Gas Oil and Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-27
Table 4-19. Pinedale Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year)	4-28
Table 4-20. Pinedale Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year)	4-29
Table 4-21. Rawlins Field Office Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-30
Table 4-22. Rawlins Field Office Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-32
Table 4-23. Rawlins Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (in tons per year)	4-33
Table 4-24. Rawlins Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions Compared to Alternative A (in tons per year)	4-34
Table 4-25. Rock Springs Field Office Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-35
Table 4-26. Rock Springs Field Office Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-36
Table 4-27. Rock Springs Field Office Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions from Alternative A (in tons per year)	4-38
Table 4-28. Rock Springs Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year)	4-39
Table 4-29. Bridger-Teton National Forest Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-40
Table 4-30. Bridger-Teton National Forest Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-41

Table 4-31. Bridger-Teton National Forest Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions from Alternative A (in tons per year)	4-42
Table 4-32. Bridger-Teton Field Office Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year)	4-43
Table 4-33. Thunder Basin National Grassland Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year).....	4-45
Table 4-34. Thunder Basin National Grassland Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-46
Table 4-35. Thunder Basin National Grassland Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions from Alternative A (in tons per year).....	4-47
Table 4-36. Thunder Basin National Grassland Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year).....	4-48
Table 4-37. Medicine Bow National Forest Total Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-49
Table 4-38. Medicine Bow National Forest Total Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Each Alternative (tons per year)	4-51
Table 4-39. Medicine Bow National Forest Oil and Gas Criteria Pollutant Emission Reductions from Alternative A (in tons per year)	4-52
Table 4-40. Medicine Bow National Forest Oil and Gas Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Alternative A (tons per year).....	4-53
Table 4-41. Total Reductions in Numbers of Oil and Gas Wells from Alternative A	4-55
Table 4-42. Grazing Allotments and AUMs Potentially Affected on National Forest System Lands under the Proposed Land Use Plan Amendments	4-102
Table 4-43. Acres Closed to Oil and Gas Leasing in Sage-Grouse Habitat Areas	4-108
Table 4-44. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for Wyoming through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-141
Table 4-45. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Casper Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-141
Table 4-46. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Kemmerer Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-142
Table 4-47. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Newcastle Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-143
Table 4-48. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Pinedale Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-144
Table 4-49. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Rawlins Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-145
Table 4-50. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Rock Springs Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-145
Table 4-51. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Bridger-Teton National Forest through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-146
Table 4-52. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Development for the Thunder Basin National Grassland through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-147
Table 4-53. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for Wyoming through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-148
Table 4-54. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Casper Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-150
Table 4-55. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Kemmerer Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-151
Table 4-56. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Newcastle Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-152

Table 4-57. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Pinedale Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-153
Table 4-58. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Rawlins Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-154
Table 4-59. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Rock Springs Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-156
Table 4-60. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Bridger-Teton National Forest (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-157
Table 4-61. Economic Impacts of Oil and Gas Production for the Thunder Basin National Grassland (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-158
Table 4-62. Economic Impacts of Wind Energy Development for Wyoming through 2020, Low Scenario (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-160
Table 4-63. Economic Impacts of Wind Energy Development for Wyoming through 2020, High Scenario (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-160
Table 4-64. Economic Impacts of Wind Energy Production for Wyoming through 2020, Low Scenario (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-161
Table 4-65. Economic Impacts of Wind Energy Production for Wyoming through 2020, High Scenario (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-162
Table 4-66. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for Wyoming through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-163
Table 4-67. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for Wyoming (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-164
Table 4-68. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Casper Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-165
Table 4-69. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Casper Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-165
Table 4-70. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Kemmerer Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-166
Table 4-71. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Kemmerer Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-167
Table 4-72. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Newcastle Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-168
Table 4-73. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Newcastle Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-168
Table 4-74. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Pinedale Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-169
Table 4-75. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Pinedale Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-169
Table 4-76. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Rawlins Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-170
Table 4-77. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Rawlins Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-171
Table 4-78. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Rock Springs Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-172
Table 4-79. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Rock Springs Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-172
Table 4-80. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Bridger-Teton National Forest through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-173
Table 4-81. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Bridger-Teton National Forest (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-174
Table 4-82. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Medicine Bow National Forest through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-175

Table 4-83. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Medicine Bow National Forest (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-175
Table 4-84. Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Thunder Basin National Grassland through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-176
Table 4-85. Annual Economic Impacts of Livestock Grazing for the Thunder Basin National Grassland (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-177
Table 4-86. Economic Effects of Recreation for Wyoming through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-178
Table 4-87. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for Wyoming (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-178
Table 4-88. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Casper Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-179
Table 4-89. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Casper Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-179
Table 4-90. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Kemmerer Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-180
Table 4-91. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Kemmerer Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-180
Table 4-92. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Newcastle Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-181
Table 4-93. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Newcastle Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-181
Table 4-94. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Pinedale Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-182
Table 4-95. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Pinedale Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-182
Table 4-96. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Rawlins Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-183
Table 4-97. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Rawlins Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-183
Table 4-98. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Rock Springs Field Office through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-184
Table 4-99. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Rock Springs Field Office (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-184
Table 4-100. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Bridger-Teton National Forest through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-185
Table 4-101. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Bridger-Teton National Forest (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-185
Table 4-102. Economic Effects of Recreation for the Medicine Bow National Forest and Thunder Basin National Grassland through 2020 (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-186
Table 4-103. Annual Economic Effects of Recreation for the Medicine Bow National Forest and Thunder Basin National Grassland (1,000s of 2011\$).....	4-186
Table 4-104. Total Wells Drilled on Federal Mineral Estate in Sage-Grouse Habitat from 2013 to 2020, by Alternative	4-211
Table 4-105. Acres of Oil, Gas, and CBNG Restricted by Lek Buffers and Greater Sage-Grouse Management.....	4-270
Table 4-106. Buffer Distances for Occupied Leks—Alternative A.....	4-273
Table 4-107. Seasonal Timing Limitations for Sage-Grouse Nesting and Early Brood-rearing Habitat.....	4-279
Table 4-108. Big Game Habitat Overlap with Sage-Grouse Lek Buffers	4-424
Table 4-109. Summary of Other Activities Considered.....	4-466
Table 4-110. Management Jurisdiction in MZ I and II/VI by Acres of Priority and General Habitats	4-514

Table 4-111. Acres Open* and Closed to Fluid Mineral Leasing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ 1	4-526
Table 4-112. Acres with NSO and CSU/TL Stipulations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ 1	4-527
Table 4-113. Acres Open and Closed to Mineral Material Disposal in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-531
Table 4-114. Acres Open and Recommended for Withdrawal from Mineral Entry in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-533
Table 4-115. Acres Open and Closed to Non-energy Leasable Mineral Leasing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-534
Table 4-116. Acres of Rights-of-Way/Special Use Authorization Management in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-536
Table 4-117. Acres of Wind Energy Management Areas in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-538
Table 4-118. Acres Available and Unavailable to Livestock Grazing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-542
Table 4-119. Acres Identified for Retention and Disposal in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-546
Table 4-120. Acres of Travel Management Designations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ I	4-550
Table 4-121. Acres Open* and Closed to Fluid Mineral Leasing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-552
Table 4-122. Acres with NSO and CSU/TL Stipulations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-553
Table 4-123. Acres Open and Closed to Mineral Material Disposal in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-557
Table 4-124. Acres Open and Recommended for Withdrawal from Mineral Entry in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-558
Table 4-125. Acres Open and Closed to Non-energy Leasable Mineral Leasing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-560
Table 4-126. Acres of Rights-of-Way/Special Use Authorization Management in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-561
Table 4-127. Acres of Wind Energy Management Areas in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-564
Table 4-128. Acres Available and Unavailable to Livestock Grazing in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-566
Table 4-129. Acres Identified for Retention and Disposal in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-570
Table 4-130. Acres of Travel Management Designations in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat in MZ II/VII	4-572
Table 4-131. Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions in Management Zone I Likely to Impact Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat	4-580
Table 4-132. Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions in Management Zone II/VII Likely to Impact Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat	4-582
 Table 5-1. Public Involvement, Coordination, and Consultation Events	5-1
Table 5-2. Key Coordination Actions	5-5
Table 5-3. List of Preparers and Contributors	5-13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Nine Step Planning Process.....	1-8
Figure 3-1. BLM Casper Field Office, Medicine Bow National Forest and Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-1
Figure 3-2. BLM Kemmerer Field Office and Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-2
Figure 3-3. BLM Newcastle Field Office & Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-2
Figure 3-4. BLM Pinedale Field Office & Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-3
Figure 3-5. BLM Rawlins Field Office & Medicine Bow National Forest	FIG-3
Figure 3-6. BLM Rock Springs Field Office & Bridger-Teton National Forest.....	FIG-4
Figure 3-7. Capacity to Disperse Pollutants Rock Springs, Wyoming	FIG-4
Figure 3-8. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Casper Field Office Area, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forest and Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-5
Figure 3-9. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Kemmerer Field Office Area and Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-6
Figure 3-10. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Newcastle Field Office Area and Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-7
Figure 3-11. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Pinedale Field Office Area and Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-8
Figure 3-12. Ozone Concentrations in the Pinedale Field Office Area and Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-9
Figure 3-13. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Rawlins Field Office Area and Medicine Bow National Forest	FIG-10
Figure 3-14. Criteria Pollutant Concentrations in the BLM Rock Springs Field Office Area and Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-11
Figure 3-15. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Bridger Wilderness	FIG-12
Figure 3-16. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Yellowstone National Park	FIG-13
Figure 3-17. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area North Absaroka Wilderness	FIG-14
Figure 3-18. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Cloud Peak Wilderness	FIG-15
Figure 3-19. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Brooklyn Lake	FIG-16
Figure 3-20. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Rocky Mountain National Park	FIG-17
Figure 3-21. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Thunder Basin.....	FIG-18
Figure 3-22. Daily Visibility near Sage-grouse Planning Area Boulder Lake.....	FIG-19
Figure 3-23. Annual Visibility (Standard Visual Range) near Sage-grouse Planning Area Bridger Wilderness	FIG-20
Figure 3-24. Annual Visibility (Standard Visual Range) near Sage-grouse Planning Area Yellowstone National Park	FIG-21
Figure 3-25. Annual Visibility (Standard Visual Range) near Sage-grouse Planning Area North Absaroka	FIG-22
Figure 3-26. Annual Visibility (Standard Visual Range) near Sage-grouse Planning Area Cloud Peak.....	FIG-23
Figure 3-27. Annual Visibility (Standard Visual Range) near Sage-grouse Planning Area Rocky Mountain National Park.....	FIG-24
Figure 3-28. Mean Annual Precipitation pH in the Sage-grouse Planning Area Pinedale, Wyoming	FIG-25
Figure 3-29. Mean Annual Precipitation pH in the Sage-grouse Planning Area	FIG-26
Figure 3-30. Mean Annual Concentrations of Nitrogen Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Pinedale, Wyoming	FIG-27

Figure 3-31. Mean Annual Concentrations of Nitrogen Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Centennial, Wyoming	FIG-28
Figure 3-32. Mean Annual Concentrations of Nitrogen Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Yellowstone National Park	FIG-29
Figure 3-33. Mean Annual Concentrations of Nitrogen Compounds in the Sage-grouse Planning Area Pinedale	FIG-30
Figure 3-34. Mean Annual Concentrations of Sulfur Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Pinedale, Wyoming.....	FIG-31
Figure 3-35. Mean Annual Concentrations of Sulfur Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Centennial, Wyoming	FIG-32
Figure 3-36. Mean Annual Concentrations of Sulfur Compounds near Sage-grouse Planning Area Yellowstone National Park	FIG-33
Figure 3-37. Mean Annual Concentrations of Sulphur Compounds in the Sage-grouse Planning Area Pinedale	FIG-34
Figure 3-38. Total Nitrogen Deposition in Pinedale	FIG-35
Figure 3-39. Total Nitrogen Deposition in Yellowstone National Park	FIG-36
Figure 3-40. Total Nitrogen Deposition in Centennial	FIG-37
Figure 3-41. Total Sulphur Deposition in Pinedale	FIG-38
Figure 3-42. Total Sulphur Deposition in Yellowstone National Park	FIG-39
Figure 3-43. Total Sulphur Deposition in Centennial	FIG-40
Figure 3-44. Climate Change in Northern Latitudes.....	FIG-41
Figure 3-45. Average Temperature and Precipitation Trends	FIG-42
Figure 3-46. Socioeconomic Study Area, Planning Area, and Surface Land Tenure	FIG-43
Figure 3-47. Distribution of Soil Stability Ratings Forestwide for the Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-44
Figure 3-48. Male Sage-grouse Lek Attendance Averages, 1980 – 2008, for the Newcastle Field Office	FIG-45
Figure 3-49. Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat for the Bridger-Teton National Forest	FIG-46
Figure 3-50. General Location of Designated Greater Sage-Grouse Core Habitats in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-47
Figure 3-51. Average Number of Displaying Male Greater Sage-Grouse per Lek (1996-2011) in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-48
Figure 3-52. Minimum Estimated Greater Sage-Grouse Population (1998-2011) in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-48
Figure 3-53. Prairie Dog Acres in the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-49
Figure 3-54. Number of Displaying Male Sharp-tailed Grouse Observed Annually (2003-2011) in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-49
Figure 3-55. Percent of Active Raptor Nests in the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-50
Figure 3-56. Elk Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-51
Figure 3-57. Pronghorn Antelope Herd Units for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-52
Figure 3-58. Highlight Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-52
Figure 3-59. North Black Hills Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-53
Figure 3-60. Gillette Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland.....	FIG-53
Figure 3-61. Cheyenne River Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-54
Figure 3-62. North Converse Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-54
Figure 3-63. Mule Deer Herds for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-55
Figure 3-64. Powder River Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland. FIG-56	
Figure 3-65. Cheyenne River Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-56
Figure 3-66. Black Hills Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland....	FIG-57

Figure 3-67. North Converse Mule Deer Herd Population for the Thunder Basin National Grassland	FIG-57
Figure 4-1. Oil and Gas Leasing Categories as a Percent of Sage-Grouse Habitat Areas	4-109

LIST OF MAPS

- Map 1-1. Planning Area
- Map 1-2. Surface Ownership
- Map 1-3. Mineral Ownership
- Map 1-4. BLM Forest Service Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Strategy Subregion/EIS Boundaries

- Map 2-1. Surface Disturbance/Surface Occupancy Restrictions – Alternative A
- Map 2-2. Surface Disturbance/Surface Occupancy Restrictions – Alternative D
- Map 2-3. Surface Disturbance/Surface Occupancy Restrictions – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-4. Oil and Gas Leasing Restrictions – Alternative A
- Map 2-5. Oil and Gas Leasing Restrictions – Alternative B
- Map 2-6. Oil and Gas Leasing Restrictions – Alternative C
- Map 2-7. Oil and Gas Leasing Restrictions – Alternative D
- Map 2-8. Oil and Gas Leasing Restrictions – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-9. ROW Exclusion and Avoidance Areas – Alternative A
- Map 2-10. ROW Exclusion and Avoidance Areas – Alternative B
- Map 2-11. ROW Exclusion and Avoidance Areas – Alternative C
- Map 2-12. ROW Exclusion and Avoidance Areas – Alternative D
- Map 2-13. ROW Exclusion and Avoidance Areas – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-14. Areas Closed to Mineral Materials – Alternative A
- Map 2-15. Areas Closed to Mineral Materials – Alternative B
- Map 2-16. Areas Closed to Mineral Materials – Alternative C
- Map 2-17. Areas Closed to Mineral Materials – Alternative D
- Map 2-18. Areas Closed to Mineral Materials – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-19. Areas Withdrawn From Mineral Entry – Alternative A
- Map 2-20. Areas Withdrawn From Mineral Entry – Alternative B
- Map 2-21. Areas Withdrawn From Mineral Entry – Alternative C
- Map 2-22. Areas Withdrawn From Mineral Entry – Alternative D
- Map 2-23. Areas Withdrawn From Mineral Entry – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-24. Areas Closed to Solid Leasable Minerals – Alternative A
- Map 2-25. Areas Closed to Solid Leasable Minerals – Alternative B
- Map 2-26. Areas Closed to Solid Leasable Minerals – Alternative C
- Map 2-27. Areas Closed to Solid Leasable Minerals – Alternative D
- Map 2-28. Areas Closed to Solid Leasable Minerals – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-29. Wind Energy Development Restrictions – Alternative A
- Map 2-30. Wind Energy Development Restrictions – Alternative B
- Map 2-31. Wind Energy Development Restrictions – Alternative C
- Map 2-32. Wind Energy Development Restrictions – Alternative D
- Map 2-33. Wind Energy Development Restrictions – Proposed LUP Amendments
- Map 2-34. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern– Alternative B
- Map 2-35. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern– Alternative C
- Map 2-36. Sagebrush Focal Areas

- Map 3-1. Historic Sites and National Historic Trails
- Map 3-2. Grazing Allotments: Casper Field Office
- Map 3-3. Grazing Allotments: Kemmerer Field Office
- Map 3-4. Grazing Allotments: Newcastle Field Office
- Map 3-5. Grazing Allotments: Pinedale Field Office
- Map 3-6. Grazing Allotments: Rawlins Field Office

- Map 3-7. Grazing Allotments: Rock Springs Field Office
- Map 3-8. Grazing Allotments: Bridger Teton National Forest
- Map 3-9. Grazing Allotments: Medicine Bow National Forest
- Map 3-10. Grazing Allotments: Thunder Basin National Grasslands
- Map 3-11. Federal Mineral Estate and Existing Oil and Gas Leases
- Map 3-12. Major Oil and Gas Field Locations
- Map 3-13. Coal and Trona Leases
- Map 3-14. Developed Recreation Sites
- Map 3-15. Rawlins Soils/Precipitation Zones
- Map 3-16. BLM Special Designations and Management Areas
- Map 3-17. Forest Service Special Designation Areas
- Map 3-18. Sage-Grouse Habitat
- Map 3-19. Sage-Grouse Seasonal Habitats
- Map 3-20. Transportation System
- Map 3-21. Vegetation Communities
- Map 3-22. BLM Visual Resource Management Classifications
- Map 3-23. Forest Service Integrity Objectives and Visual Quality Objectives
- Map 3-24. Watersheds and Surface Water Features
- Map 3-25. Wild Horse Herd Management Areas
- Map 3-26. Pronghorn Habitat Locations
- Map 3-27. Mule Deer Habitat Locations
- Map 3-28. White-tailed Deer Habitat Locations
- Map 3-29. Elk Habitat Locations
- Map 3-30. Moose Habitat Locations
- Map 3-31. Bighorn Sheep Habitat Locations
- Map 3-32. FSIM Fire Probability Categories
- Map 3-33. Lands with Wilderness Characteristics

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Wyoming Game and Fish Department Protocols for Treating Sagebrush in Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat
- Appendix B. Required Design Features
- Appendix C. Reclamation Plan
- Appendix D. The Greater Sage-Grouse Implementation Framework
- Appendix E. Fluid Mineral Stipulations
- Appendix F. Predator Management
- Appendix G. Grazing Allotment Data
- Appendix H. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern Criteria
- Appendix I. Disturbance Density Calculation Tool Manual
- Appendix J. Greater Sage-Grouse Wildfire and Invasive Species Habitat Assessment
- Appendix K. Federal Oil and Gas Operations on Split Estate Lands
- Appendix L. Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Guidance
- Appendix M. Forest Service Biological Evaluation and Management Indicator Species Report
- Appendix N Technical Report: Social and Economic Impact Analysis Methodology
- Appendix O Response to Public Comments

This page intentionally left blank.